

**Dorset Strategy Group Meeting**  
**Draft notes for comments**

Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019  
 Poole Harbour Commissioners, Poole

<b>Name</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Present</b>
Fiona Bowles	Chair	Y
Ruth Barden	Wessex Water	Y
Ian Withers	EA	Y
Scott West	WRT	Y
Nick Perrin	BoP	N
Andrew Pollard	DWT	Y
Dominic Stubbing	FP&WDRA	Y
Jon Bass	WCSRT	Y
Bridget Betts	DCC	N
Brian Richards	DCC Flood Risk Manager	Y
Kath Burt	EA	Y
Nicola Hopkins	Catchment Coordinator	Y
Louise Stratton	NFU	Y
Ian Rees	DAONB	Y
Emma Sumner	Catchment Partnership	Y
Jane Wright	NE	Y
Kevan Connelly	EA	Y

**Guests:** Giles Bryan (EA), Andrew Nicholson (NE), Steve Dring (BoP), Rosanne Broom (EA)

**Apologies :** Ken Buchan, Maria Clarke, Nick Perrins (Steve Dring), Tom Munro, Paul Cottingham (Louise Stratton), Tom Lewis (Jane Wright).

**1. Minutes and actions from previous meeting: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2018**

<b>Who</b>	<b>What</b>	<b>When</b>
<b>NH</b>	Distribute final redacted feasibility study on Beavers to PHCI/DSG and workshop attendees and advise otherwise available on request from Wessex Water.	Complete
<b>RB</b>	circulate link to the Wessex Water business plan and slides from presentation.	Complete
<b>RB</b>	Circulate further information on the background of LENS	Complete

<b>FB &amp; NH</b>	meet with Litter Free Coasts and Sea on how their initiative will expand in Dorset and potential for engagement with businesses.	Ongoing
<b>FB</b>	Contact Luke Rake LEP to discuss potential shared interest and the Green Growth Agenda	Ongoing
<b>FB</b>	Contact Nestle, for an example of an industry engagement in catchment management for next meeting – <i>subsequent note FB to contact M&amp;S.</i>	Ongoing
<b>DS</b>	contact Bournemouth University enquiring about possible funding streams business and cooperation.	Ongoing
<b>NH</b>	distribute Dorset CP structure diagram once amended with the WDR&CS added	Ongoing
<b>IR</b>	distribute finished map of Dorset when available (dissemination event?)	Agenda
<b>KG</b>	follow up EA infographic which can be broken down for Dorset - transferred to NH	Agenda
<b>KG</b>	look to share a scope of Piranha tool with the catchment partnership NH – arrange for Jeremy Taylor to cover this action	Ongoing
<b>IR</b>	write case study to share the biodiversity opportunity mapping with the wider catchment partnership for the mapping project and distribute finished map in next few weeks.	Ongoing
<b>NH</b>	distribute prioritisation criteria questions to catchment for comment	Agenda
<b>RB</b>	share the water industry list of emerging issues, NH to include with the minutes of meeting	Agenda

- There was little steer provided by the group during the last meeting and so a focus on the direction and vision need from this meeting.
- Still awaiting to find out the scope of the Piranha tool however this has been fed back to the EA via Ian Withers.
- Dominic Stubbing has approached Bournemouth University but is still awaiting a reply about possible funding streams business and cooperation.
- FB still pursuing engagement with larger industries such as M&S and Nestle. Need a stronger direction from the Strategy group on how to target these industries and others.
- Since the adoption of new GDPR regulations the minutes from meetings and agendas have not been uploaded on to the partnership websites as has traditionally happened in the past. This is because they mainly contain names of people both at the meeting and working on related projects. During January's meeting a GDPR declaration list was circulated and signed by all attendees for permission the minutes to be uploaded henceforth. Only names will be mentioned no email address or contact details. Anyone who wishes to opt out was asked to email the catchment partnership and this will be kept on file.

**Action IW:** follow up on action for scope of Piranha tool from the EA

**Action All;** Check with your organisations they would prefer our policies conform with GDPR (either names or initials and organisation appear on the notes posted on the website) and advise NH.

**Action NH/ES;** Steve Dring to replace Nick Perrins as BoP representative on the Strategy Group

## 2. Dorset Opportunity Mapping (carried over from last meeting) Ian Rees

Working with Environmental Systems, the project has taken infra-red and RGB data from the Dorset Environmental Record Centre (DERC) to act as a baseline to develop a seamless map of landcover for the whole of Dorset. Past exercises have generated gaps in data for private land, but these have now been filled to the best of knowledge- there is now a better understanding of what we have got and where we have got it. The aim is that this new connectivity map can be used to influence future Green Infrastructure Plans. It allows us to start to determine a baseline for Natural Capital in Dorset.

The mapping layers have only 20 different landcovers classes (not overcomplicated) and the layers state where the data has been derived.

It is now possible to identify where biodiversity hotspots are found (the main determinand for importance was the size) and their buffer zones up to 250m with smaller sites under 1km<sup>2</sup> termed 'stepping stones' also mapped. Grassland habitats/corridors can also be identified with areas prioritised for reconnection- a score can be given dependant on how easy they are to join up. As a result of the consistency of the data sets involved, it is not possible to replicate this at a countrywide scale. This data can also be made species specific.

Tools can be changed dependant on circumstances. There will also be a comparison of landcover areas over the last 10 years showing the changes in the landscape. The next step is a discussion on how to share this mapping, a ground truthing exercise will need to be carried out.

This will be discussed at a funder meeting in February with a workshop (March 7<sup>th</sup>) on how to present the final data and to discuss its limitations and potential uses.

### Discussion:

- Is the mapping available for share yet? Not currently but will be shared on completion and encouraged to be used widely.

**Action IR:** distribute screen shots/advice sheet on how to use the mapping tool and list of invites to the training workshop

**Action all;** send on thoughts and uses of the mapping tool to IR

### WDCS&R Update-State of the River Asker - Ian Rees DAONB

The local community have taken a real interest in improving the condition of the River Asker. Meetings now have 25 regular attendees. Awareness is predominately around fish and macrophytes, but this is now extending to multiple issues. Those identified include; phosphates, barriers, shading, INNS. A report has been compiled of these issues and includes maps to show potential target areas for projects.

The local Parish Council have been engaged around the issues of an artificial barrier causing failure, shade from riparian tree cover, catchment land use, agricultural phosphate from diffuse sources, and invasive species (Himalayan Balsam, Crayfish etc.). Also, good link up with Crutchley estates.

Further actions:

- 8 Riverfly monitors have been trained- others on a waiting list, aim to expand this to WQ parameters
- Schools engaged, and one workshop held 'school river day'

- Himalayan balsam bashing started and minks rafts deployed
- Regular Tuesday volunteering sessions
- Silt trap installed and monitored
- Wild Trout Trust visit next month
- secured NFM funding from the EA for 2019/20 and submitted bid to HE.
- Looking at electro fishing up stream- how does this compare to EA monitoring downstream
- Crayfish survey of Askerwell.
- Field trial- subsoiling, different treatments at different times of the year. Conventional till v min-till methods and water penetration. Filed trials with herb rich swards.

Some funding through CP, as well as the NFM allocation from EA. Some interest in the creation of silt traps

**Discussion:**

- FB; how is the scheme of working different from the Poole and Stour- when you look at the entire river catchment, how many rivers have you engaged on? The project has focused only on the Asker however at Mangerton there has been a high level of interest- financial implications aren't the most important resource requirement, but staff hours are. The current structure is that the AONB lead on the project with FWAGSW working with the farmers in the area (NE facilitation fund group). The DWT arrange the volunteer groups.
- JW; Is there a similar report for another river for example the Hooke? A fluvial report is currently being collated through an EA funded project managed by the DWT. Aim is to gather data and provide farmers in the Hooke with similar offer to that in the Simene, with on the ground delivery next year. There is a Start-up meeting for farmers this month (28<sup>th</sup> Feb) to establish what they are prepared to deliver on their land.
- Will there come a time when you can step away from the project? Yes but others, preferably from the community, need to take ownership of these elements such as riverfly monitoring.
- AP; the reporting on the time element needs to be as accurate as possible- not necessarily just in 'days', a greater breakdown is required. As well as what 'would have happened' anyway and report back.

**Action IR;** compile a summary of staff resource hours and budget requirements (£8000 to date) to share with the group

**Action IR;** compile similar report on the £20,000 of funding from the EA for NFM project, reporting should include match funding, in-kind contribution and £ spend vs £delivered for that investment.

**3. Project Planning for Dorset including assessing environmental net gain, reporting metrics and terms of reference for the Strategy Group**

**Natural Capital Accounting- CaBA, Fiona Bowles**

Natural Capital has been included as part of the 25-year plan and will be a standard metric in the future but how do the strategy group feel about starting this process and how is this going to happen? This should be accounted for in the partnership's decision making in the near future.

At a national scale, a Defra pilot called NEVO is a mapping tool that is being developed by Exeter University. It can generate a number of scenarios giving a natural capital value- this is still in development and feedback is being invited, for completion in March.

Seems a comprehensive tool using national data sets which calculates a capital value (based on Carbon, water flow) based on land use, with a resolution of 2Ha squares.

**Discussion;**

- IW- Piranha is a similar tool allowing decisions to be aided- the partnership should to be aware that these can't be fully relied on, aim to use it to help decision making in partnership with local expertise.
- There is a conference 5th March focusing specifically on Natural Capital, feedback can be made to the group at the next meeting.

### **Natural Capital Accounting- Wessex Water and Entrade- Ruth Barden**

OFWAT has requested a Natural Capital metric for Business planning and reporting. Wessex Water is looking at how this is incorporated into the decision making, especially in the next planning process, post 2025. Impacts on landholding, catchment management work has been considered in terms of money spent or an extension of WxW services Ltd.

The Entrade trials have shown that farmers are engaged and understand it as one of the nutrient offsetting platforms available. EnTrade is therefore looking at developing a Natural capital protocol using GIS open source data to assess natural capital for a specific geographical area for Poole Harbour catchment. This aims to produce a Natural Capital register with a high resolution of 25m and will facilitate scenario planning of options on the environmental stocks and services. Services included are water flow, carbon, pollination, flood and soil erosion protection at present. This will allow an assessment of the impact on ecosystems can be made. A demonstration of the new tool is proposed the end of March?

#### **Discussion;**

- IR- DANOB was a pilot for a minimum assets evaluation over 3 years ago however figures for water were difficult to generate.
- Natural England are doing metrics for planning- future projects will need to take this into consideration when going for future funding.
- Similar work on biodiversity Net Gain is being trialled by EA- feedback when available
- SW- WRT are progressing on related work.
- AP- could this tool be used for the Lower Frome Vision?
- IR- it is still difficult to use natural capital at a local level- still very generic. Better quality assets= better quality services

**Action;** SW Scott to update on how the Natural Capital tool is being used by WRT for next meeting if possible

## **4. Judicial Review of Poole Harbour and other Dorset sites**

### **Poole Harbour**

Rosanne Broom and Giles Bryan explain high level strategy and next steps in the Poole Harbour Judicial Review.

Consultation on the 1st draft of the report ended June 2018. Work was undertaken over the summer on refreshing the report. Two progress updates were circulated to stakeholders in October and Dec 18. The 2nd draft has been circulated to NE and national technical experts with comments awaiting feedback from NE, in order to produce the final document. This will then have to be signed off by NE and EA with the final report for DEFRA available later this year (summer). DEFRA will then determine their preferred option and consult formally on that.

The dry weather of 2018 and staff changes have limited staff resources, but they are still working through some technical issues.

Now working with colleagues in the Solent & South Downs European sites to cover potential links and a more overarching strategy for nutrient management across the whole south coast area.

**Action GB;** distribute presentation slides for Judicial review to Strategy Group

**Key themes;**

- The report must include the fair share principles (structure updated to follow this) as well as considering technical feasibility.
- To better signpost the appendices and built on some of the questions that had been raised from feedback.
- Macro algae targets- original report was split into 3 sections and presented as an average across the whole harbour. Additional macroalgae models also to be run.
- Peer review contract with consultancy.
- Existing regulations for farmers must be in affect before further regs.
- Nutrient trading option is to be built into the options appraisal.
- Targets updated e.g macroalgae- likely maximum loading
- Evidence based updated e.g fair share calculation for phosphorus, for both farmers and the water company.
- Reduction Targets- 1730 tonnes of nitrogen
- OP target of 21 tonnes (30% reduction)
- However, if nitrates are reduced, measures will also deliver phosphate reduction. Further treatment required at Poole Harbour or moving the outfall.
- Decisions over what diffuse reductions are technically achievable.
- Point source options are also technically available for N & P. Including a cost benefit analysis.
- Used the ADAS data.
- The options appraisal was refined and updated; delivery time scales, practical feasibility, regulatory instrument etc.
- Diffuse options have been evaluated and creation of wetlands is still a likely measure.

The options reviewed are:

- Maintain the status quo
- Fully implement existing regulation
- Existing legislation + farmer led nutrient trading within the rules being developed
- EL +nutrient trading + agreed regulatory approach
- EL + nutrient trading + new regulatory requirements
- EL + water protection zone.

Conclusions- diffuse N&P fair share are not being met by all farmers. N could be the common trading currency. Diffuse delivery can be aligned to the Wessex Water AMP process.

There is still a very low confidence that the current mechanisms will deliver targets, but additional data is still required from farmers. Low confidence in the figure of a 120t reduction over the last 5 years. This is critical to track of progress towards achieving the targets. The tracking process will also enable partners to adjust management approach in light of annual data. Fair share is not yet being met by farmers,

**Discussion:**

- Data from the early 70's but difficult to assume when the problem started in PH- nitrates seems to have been an emerging issue in the 1980's, P from 1960 (JB).
- FB Should it be termed Farmer or Landowner in the report? Should we be careful of the term Farmers especially in regard to wetlands, are we excluding other landowners? PHNMS is not limited to 'farmers' but covers all landowners- could this be updated within the review? This would also include forestry, conservation and other land use industries. Recommend using the terms land owners rather than farmers.

- Going to take decades to get to the target due to the aquifer time lag, more immediate benefit will result from including wetlands and surface water measures. The modelling has disregarded the issue of lag time in the catchment.

### **Plans for the next stage of Fleet and Chesil judicial review- Kevan Connolly**

The review started summer 2017 due to Fleet lagoon being impacted by nutrients. Ian Withers is the project lead Kevan Connolly and Doug Kite are project officers. Western end of the lagoon has less of a coastal exchange and therefore higher N and P.

Info/ data gathering, and interpretation took place and found that the following were having an impact:

- Ground water input
- Streams
- Point source discharges (private and water company)

Due to Brexit, staff resources have been reduced and the review is currently 'Paused'. Comprehensive planning ongoing regarding a no deal and resilience planning is in full swing. The Fleet timeline will be updated (TBC)- This is a domestic legal challenge not derived from Europe.

Work in the Hampshire Avon, Solent and South Downs will continue towards a 2020 deadline.

The situation is to be reviewed around April, but additional farm data is required, Farmscoper is being used for some scenarios. Thoughts on how the Strategy could help.

### **Dorset Heaths Andrew Nicholson**

Led by Helen Powell (NE), Andrew Nicholson and Doug Kite. Staff who have the wetland experience for the project. Work has started, with a target date of 2020 for the sites effected by landfill. EA experts have been to sites and are now looking at potential solutions which includes a compensatory habitat for 1 site. 8 urban sites, including combined sewers and septic tanks, will be covered next. Of 18sites the deadline is 2020 but some

## **5. Project Prioritisation and funding streams**

A lot of research being done to find funding for projects and it is a challenge to ensure sustainable funds to deliver and maintain catchment actions.

It is key that the DSG reviews the different funding processes, both existing and in development, without overlapping. We need to:

- . engage further with LNP for a long-term vision
- Need clear detail on who is funding what and how to measure multiple benefits.

### **PHNMS scheme update Louise Stratton**

The NFU has continued to develop the PHNMS phase 1 with two stakeholder groups:

- PHNMS Landowner group
- the wider stakeholders including: WxW, LEP, LNP, LA, EA, NE.

Engaging with these further stakeholders will create a better idea of what the scheme can deliver in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> phases. Positive conversations with Defra have been happening. Communications with the wider farmers are now ongoing within the catchment with further landowners and small holders to be contacted shortly. Future funding is being looked at, the scheme is currently funded by the NFU but potential funding streams to include the Tridos Bank are being looked at for the pilot scheme and talks are also ongoing with Wessex Water and EnTrade to set up phase 2 and establishing the trading scheme.

Time scales-

1. Initial set up and structure of pilot 2019/20
2. Rollout within farming community
3. complete roll out to further stakeholders

### Discussion

- JW- is there any consideration for including air quality since N measures can reduce air quality? Yes, in the future but priority initially reducing N in PH
- LA. This is an opportunity to work with farmers at a catchment level.
- EnTrade are looking at how they can assist with the process- WxW looking at offsetting P in AMP7. EnTrade looking at developing mechanism for trading P
- AP-Could this tie into the Frome Restoration Plan which aims to use developer contributions (CIL and S106) to fund restoration measures.? Steve Dring reported that for CIL (nitrogen neutral) funding, new habitat creation must remain for at least the same length of time as the development. The payment is for capital measures- where land is changed, it must be for 100 years so many of the JDRO measures won't be applicable. Steve is. Currently looking at sites in Purbeck and West Dorset and although work at Briantspuddle has not progressed, that will now start. There have been technical difficulties in designing wetlands due to the water levels available.

**Action all;** a call for expertise for wetland development work.

DK noted that flood plain reconnection was needed extensively in the Frome and that work can be linked to the Frome river rehabilitation plan.

**DS** asked that fishery interest should be considered, especially where it is currently 'good'

### Lower Frome Vision, Andrew Pollard

- The focus is on the rivers stretch from Dorchester down to Poole Harbour.
- Not focused directly on in river improvements instead the creation and link up of wetlands with the river.
- Hoping to bring forward wetland creation plans and link up with developers for their contributions in Purbeck and West Dorset
- Waiting on the Dorset Council changes before pursuing further
- NE are involved in the project- ensure to keep Helen Powell in the loop.

**Action AP;** share document on Lower Frome Vision plan when available to the group

**Action NH/FB;** map where existing funding is in the Dorset area, could this be done in a clearer way?

Consultation response from perspective of the catchment partnerships on Biodiversity Net Gain

**Action all;** comments on the BNG consultation to be fed back to NH

## 6. Flood risk planning in Dorset catchments- Brian Richards

Discussion on how to engage with the LLFA, local flood forum, tiers of engagement.

BR described the current flood risk planning activities in Dorset. National Policy and delivery of the local flood risk management strategies is carried out by Risk Assessment management Authorities (RMAs). The Flood Risk Strategy (FRMP) from Oct 2014 is being refreshed and focuses around 5 themes, including community focus and self-help.

DCC, as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) have a duty to undertake flood investigations whereas the EA's role is to develop the regional FCERM, work with others to develop Flood Risk Management Plans and to respond to incidents. Sustainable drainage systems must be consulted on by the Local

Planning Authorities (LPA) who then will be involved in the schemes development e.g. Dorchester flood relief scheme.

BR chairs a Flood Risk Officer Group meeting every 3 months and there is the opportunity for speakers. Also, a Local Government Authorities group for the SW where 16 local authorities are represented at the meetings. Issues raised can also be taken to a national level.

It must be noted that LGA staffing levels being greatly affected by the current changes in Dorset, restructuring and redundancies. Property at risk data is available on 'Dorset for You GIS map.

### **Discussion**

- When is the right timing to engage with the LLFA group and attend meetings?
- Be aware of the future minerals plan- long term reclamation, an inspector is to be onboard for EIP in full

**Action NH and BR;** discuss attending a LLFA meeting and Catchment Partnership presentation.

**Action IW-** take back to the EA about the link up with the LLFA

**Note- Flood roles are described on** <https://www.local.gov.uk/topics/severe-weather/flooding/local-flood-risk-management/managing-flood-risk-roles-and>

## **7. Dorset Catchment Partnerships coordinator and chair update**

### **Wessex Water Enforcement Undertaking; Ruth Barden**

Due to a Wessex Water incident last year, the company submitted an enforcement undertaking to the EA, to provide a sum of £10,000 to Poole Harbour CI for environmental improvements, rather than a fine. The money is to go to the DWT (co-hosts) and must be used for a project in the Purbeck area. Further sums are being paid to NE and the RSPB to mitigate the impacts locally. PHCI to agree project to be supported by this money.

### **Beavers in Dorset**

- The final redacted report for Poole Harbour has been circulated to workshop and PHCI attendees. This mentioned 2 potential projects.
- Scoping for the project was done 18months ago and concluded there were a number of possible sites for beaver reintroduction.
- There was a Beaver workshop for partners in September 18 where the consensus was to push for the reintroduction. This was favoured as a non-fenced introduction working under the correct licensing agreements.
- The feasibility Report still does address specifically Beaver control if the reintroduction has adverse impacts or beavers spread.
- DB-National Trust are funding a project officer for 2 years in order to build local consensus and support with stakeholders. There have been planned visits to the Devon projects.
- Important to get people involved from the beginning- local consensus currently has shown 50% are in favour and 50% against.
- Further work required with the wider partnership to get the engagement right, wealth of knowledge etc.
- SW-The River Otter trials were licenced for 5 years as a licenced trial- Defra will then decide what happens with future applications. Important lessons on the management plans there.
- What is the role of the strategy group? It was agreed that the DSG should have an overview of long-term management and planning and a Separate task group could be established.

**Action NH;** approach Paul Cottington for more information on the management implemented for the R. Otter to then feed back to the group.

**Action FB;** Share report and Beaver workshop minutes with DB

**Action all;** interest on sitting on the Beaver task group names to NH/FB and projects (AP & DB) advise on best timing for T&F start up.

**Discuss the outcomes from the workshop and prioritisation task group, potential project proforma- Nicola Hopkins**

The process of prioritisation of projects has continued with a task finish workshop held in December to trial two methods of scoring and weighting. The outcome of the work shop has been written up into summary document. The revised scoring proposal was tested by NH and ES who prioritised all the current projects, using only information found in the EA’s midterm plan. The questions used for the exercise were as follows:

Does this project deliver one or more of the partnership Strategic Goals/vision? (/20)	Will this project pilot/trial approaches for delivering multi-benefit improvements? (/20)	Will this project help to improve the evidence base? (/10)	Does this project raise awareness and educate the public or stakeholders? (/10)	Does this project contribute to the development of projects for future delivery of large scale, flagship or strategic	Your evaluation. How does this project fit in the overall picture of the catchment partnership? from 0 to 20, where 20 is 'exceptional' and 0 is 'not	Rate the sustainability of the project after completion (number of potential delivery bodies, support from community groups) (/10)
--	---	--	---	---	---	--

Following comments from the Stour Catchment Delivery Group, the projects have been ranked for Dorset and for the individual CPs.

The top scoring projects for Poole Harbour were:

1. Win Win Project
2. Diffuse Pollution Advice projects
3. Hooke project, NFM upper Piddle Project

For the Stour Catchment were:

1. Holt Heath NFM mire restoration
2. Uddens Water and Whitesheet mire restoration
3. Stour Headwaters project

The catchment coordinators carrying out the prioritisation, felt that the scoring was still biased and that maybe a final question needed to be posed to the wider partners for a score based on their own priorities and visions, or the ranking scrutinized by the CPs. To ensure consistent and complete levels of information for scoring, there also needs to be a project proforma for project submission.

**Discussion:**

- If projects don’t initially score highly but they are easily deliverable shouldn’t they still be considered? Currently there is no deliverability question.
- Could it be scored using a panel? How would this be established and who would be involved?
- Need to encourage all projects not just riverine- these should be a more extensive list- there are a number already in the pipeline in the Wareham area.
- With the £10,000 awarded to the DWT from the Wessex Water Enforcement Undertaking, this should be used for delivery be a specific delivery element- could this be added to an existing project but be used for a specific element?
- Should projects be split in terms of scale? Larger projects should be weighted differently to smaller projects.
- Whilst the projects can be prioritised for the Catchment Action Plan/s it is recognized that for different funding streams, there will be differing prioritisation criteria.

**Action ES;** Project Proforma to be created and distributed to the catchment partners ASAP

**Plans for stakeholder engagement workshop/conference -Nicola Hopkins**

**Theme, location, objectives**

It has been proposed to hold a catchment partnership event later in the summer 2019 to showcase what is going on and engage with new partners. This is an opportunity to show off successful projects and how working in the partnership is worthwhile and how to deliver multiple benefit projects. The focus for 2019 is to showcase farmer engagement to attract commercial interest in opportunities for mitigation and funding.

Suggestions on venue, themes, scale etc. will be appreciated and should be forwarded to the catchment coordinators.

**Action NH-** identify date, location and draft programme for stakeholder event with partners

**Action all;** suggestions, guest speaker ideas for the summer conference to NH to discuss at next meeting

**8. AOB**

**Date of next meeting 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2019- Venue to be confirmed**

**Action summary**

<b>Who</b>	<b>What</b>	<b>When</b>
<b>IW</b>	follow up on action for scope of Piranha tool from the EA	
<b>All</b>	Check with your organisations that they or your policies conform with GDPR (either names or initials and organisation appear on the notes posted on the website).	
<b>NH/ES</b>	Steve Dring to replace Nick Perrins as BoP representative on the Strategy Group	
<b>IR</b>	distribute screen shots/advice sheet on how to use the mapping tool and invites to the training workshop	
<b>All</b>	send on thoughts and uses of the mapping tool to IR	
<b>IR</b>	compile a summary of man hours and budget requirements (£8000 to date) to share with the group for the River Asker work	
<b>IR</b>	compile similar report on the £20,000 of funding from the EA for NFM which has not been included into the budget currently	
<b>WRT</b>	Update on how the Natural Capital Tool is being used for next meeting if possible	
<b>GB</b>	distribute presentation slides for Judicial review to Strategy Group	
<b>All</b>	A call for expertise for wetland development work	

<b>AP</b>	share document on Lower Frome Vision plan when available to the group	
<b>NH &amp; FB</b>	map where existing funding is in the Dorset area, could this be done in a clearer way?	
<b>All</b>	comments on the consultation to be fed back to NH	
<b>IW</b>	take back to the EA about the link up with the LLFA	
<b>NH &amp; BR</b>	discuss attending a LLFA meeting and Catchment Partnership presentation	
<b>NH</b>	approach Paul Cottington for more information on the management implemented for the R.Otter to feed back to the group.	
<b>FB</b>	Share report and Beaver workshop minutes with DB	
<b>All</b>	interest on sitting on the Beaver task group names to NH/FB and projects (AP & DB) advise on best timing for T&F start up.	
<b>ES</b>	Project Proforma to be created and distributed to the catchment partners ASAP	
<b>NH</b>	Identify date, location and draft programme for stakeholder event with partners	
<b>All</b>	suggestions, guest speaker ideas for the summer conference to NH to discuss at next meeting	